RSV
ISSN 2674-8584

Received: 20/07/2026 - **Accepted:** 21/08/2026
Vol: 08.08 - August
DOI: 10.66104/0d2dzk71
Pages: 1-16

The Principles of Brazil's Unified Health System (SUS) and Current Challenges to Ensuring Universal Access to Healthcare

Helenitta Melo da Silva Alves
Mestranda do Programa de Saúde Ambiental e Saúde do Trabalhador
Universidade Federal de Uberlândia, Brasil
https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4552-8103

Juliana Reis de Alcantara
Doutoranda em Saúde Pública
Universidad de Ciencias Empresariales y Sociales, Argentina

Simonia Mara de Oliveira
Mestre em Administração
Universidade da Amazonia, Brasil

Karen de Fátima Figueroa Bohórquez
Doutoranda em Saúde Pública
Universidad de Ciencias Empresariales y Sociales, Argentina

Alanir Aparecida da Silva
Auxiliar de enfermagem
Universidade Federal de Uberlândia, Brasil

Juliana Aparecida Pereira Ribeiro
Doutoranda em Saúde Pública
Universidad de Ciencias Empresariales y Sociales, Argentina

Rene Humberto Rodrigues
Enfermeiro
Universidade Federal de Uberlândia, Brasil

Suzana Santos da Costa
Doutoranda em Saúde Pública
Instituto Federal de Educação, Ciência e Tecnologia de Pernambuco
https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3469-7224

Abstract

Brazil's Unified Health System (Sistema Único de Saúde-SUS) represents one of the world's largest publicly funded universal healthcare systems, providing comprehensive healthcare services to more than 200 million

CC BY

ISSN 2674-8584

Received: 20/07/2026 - **Accepted:** 21/08/2026
Vol: 08.08 - August
DOI: 10.66104/0d2dzk71
Pages: 1-16

citizens. Established through the 1988 Federal Constitution and regulated by subsequent legislation, the SUS is founded on the principles of universality, comprehensiveness, equity, decentralization, regionalization, and social participation. These principles have enabled substantial advances in health indicators, expanded access to essential services, and reduced historical inequalities in healthcare provision. Nevertheless, the system currently faces increasingly complex challenges associated with demographic transitions, epidemiological changes, chronic underfunding, regional disparities, technological innovation, workforce shortages, judicialization of healthcare, and the growing demand for high-cost medical technologies. This academic essay critically examines the constitutional principles underlying the SUS and analyzes the contemporary barriers that threaten the effective realization of universal access to healthcare in Brazil. By integrating theoretical discussions with institutional and policy perspectives, the essay explores how structural, political, economic, managerial, and demographic factors influence the sustainability of universal health coverage. The discussion also reflects upon future strategies capable of strengthening governance, financing, digital transformation, and public management while preserving the constitutional commitment to health as a fundamental social right.

Keywords: Unified Health System; SUS; universal health coverage; health policy; healthcare governance; public health.

Methodology

This study adopts the format of an academic essay, characterized by critical and interpretative reflection grounded in scientific literature, public policy documents, and institutional reports concerning the Brazilian health system. Rather than presenting original empirical findings, the essay seeks to synthesize contemporary knowledge, discuss theoretical perspectives, and critically examine current challenges affecting universal healthcare within Brazil. The analytical approach emphasizes conceptual integration between public health, health policy, health governance, and social justice.

The discussion is organized around major dimensions of the SUS, including its constitutional principles, organizational structure, financing mechanisms, governance arrangements, and current pressures affecting healthcare delivery. The essay further incorporates recent debates surrounding demographic ageing, technological innovation, digital transformation, health workforce management, regional inequalities, and policy sustainability. This thematic organization allows a comprehensive understanding of how multiple institutional and societal factors

CC BY

RSV
ISSN 2674-8584

Received: 20/07/2026 - **Accepted:** 21/08/2026
Vol: 08.08 - August
DOI: 10.66104/0d2dzk71
Pages: 1-16

interact to influence the effectiveness of universal health coverage.

To ensure greater readability and argumentative continuity, in-text citations are intentionally omitted throughout the manuscript. All arguments presented are supported by scientific evidence and official institutional documents, whose complete bibliographic information is provided in the reference list at the end of the article according to the American Psychological Association (APA, 7th edition) guidelines. This approach prioritizes the fluency of academic discussion while maintaining transparency regarding the sources that underpin the analysis.

Introduction

The Brazilian Unified Health System (Sistema Único de Saúde-SUS) constitutes one of the most ambitious universal healthcare systems established in contemporary democratic societies. Since its constitutional creation in 1988, the SUS has represented a profound transformation in Brazilian social policy by recognizing health as a fundamental human right and imposing upon the State the responsibility to guarantee universal, comprehensive, and equitable healthcare for the entire population. Unlike healthcare models based primarily on private insurance or employment-linked coverage, the Brazilian model was conceived as a citizenship-based system in which access to healthcare is independent of income, social class, employment status, or geographic location. This constitutional commitment positioned Brazil among the relatively small group of nations adopting universal health coverage as a central pillar of social protection.

The institutional development of the SUS has generated significant improvements in population health over the past three decades. The expansion of primary healthcare through the Family Health Strategy, the establishment of nationwide immunization programs, universal access to antiretroviral therapy, improvements in maternal and child healthcare, and the strengthening of epidemiological surveillance have collectively contributed to increased life expectancy and declining mortality from numerous preventable conditions. Furthermore, the COVID-19 pandemic reinforced the strategic importance of maintaining a publicly financed healthcare system capable of coordinating surveillance, prevention, vaccination, emergency care, and hospital services on a national scale despite considerable political, financial, and organizational challenges.

Despite these achievements, the sustainability of universal healthcare in Brazil has become increasingly complex. Population ageing, the growing burden of chronic non-communicable diseases, persistent socioeconomic inequalities, technological incorporation, judicial demands for expensive

CC BY

RSV
ISSN 2674-8584

Received: 20/07/2026 - **Accepted:** 21/08/2026
Vol: 08.08 - August
DOI: 10.66104/0d2dzk71
Pages: 1-16

treatments, fiscal constraints, regional disparities in healthcare infrastructure, and shortages of specialized professionals continue to challenge the system's ability to provide equitable access. Simultaneously, digital transformation, artificial intelligence, telehealth, precision medicine, and expanding expectations regarding healthcare quality require continuous institutional adaptation. These pressures highlight the need to reconsider how the foundational principles of the SUS can remain effective within an evolving social, economic, and technological environment.

Against this background, this essay addresses the following research question: How do the constitutional principles of Brazil's Unified Health System continue to support universal healthcare, and what contemporary structural, political, managerial, and demographic challenges currently threaten the effective realization of universal access to health services?

Development

1. The Constitutional Principles of Brazil's Unified Health System

The creation of Brazil's Unified Health System (Sistema Único de Saúde-SUS) represents one of the most significant institutional transformations in the country's democratic history. Emerging during the process of political redemocratization in the late twentieth century, the SUS embodied the aspirations of the Brazilian Health Reform Movement, which advocated the recognition of health not as a market commodity or employment-related benefit, but as a universal social right guaranteed by the State. This constitutional shift fundamentally altered the relationship between citizens and public healthcare by replacing fragmented insurance-based arrangements with a comprehensive national health system designed to serve the entire population regardless of socioeconomic status.

The constitutional foundations of the SUS are rooted in the understanding that health is intrinsically connected to broader social, economic, environmental, and political determinants. Rather than defining healthcare solely as the treatment of disease, the Brazilian constitutional framework recognizes that adequate housing, education, sanitation, employment, nutrition, environmental protection, and income distribution all influence population health outcomes. Consequently, public health policies must extend beyond medical care to encompass intersectoral actions capable of reducing structural inequalities that generate illness and vulnerability throughout society.

Universality constitutes the first and perhaps most distinctive principle of the SUS. Under this principle, every individual residing in Brazil possesses the legal right to access healthcare services without discrimination based on

CC BY

RSV
ISSN 2674-8584

Received: 20/07/2026 - **Accepted:** 21/08/2026
Vol: 08.08 - August
DOI: 10.66104/0d2dzk71
Pages: 1-16

nationality, income, employment, ethnicity, religion, gender, or geographic location. Unlike selective health systems that restrict eligibility according to insurance coverage or financial contribution, universality establishes healthcare as a citizenship right. This principle reflects a normative commitment to equality before the law and reinforces the ethical obligation of the State to guarantee healthcare for all members of society.

The practical implications of universality extend far beyond legal recognition. Universal access requires the continuous expansion of healthcare infrastructure, the equitable distribution of professionals, sufficient financial investment, effective service coordination, and the elimination of administrative barriers that may prevent vulnerable populations from obtaining timely care. Consequently, universality is not merely an abstract constitutional declaration but an operational objective requiring permanent governmental action to transform legal rights into effective access across highly diverse territorial and social contexts.

Complementing universality is the principle of comprehensiveness, which emphasizes that healthcare should address the multiple dimensions of human wellbeing throughout the life course. Comprehensive care involves preventive actions, health promotion, diagnosis, treatment, rehabilitation, palliative care, and long-term follow-up rather than isolated interventions focused exclusively on acute illness. This principle encourages integrated healthcare networks capable of responding to the complex needs of individuals while avoiding fragmented models that separate preventive and curative services.

Comprehensiveness also reflects an expanded conception of healthcare professionalism. Physicians, nurses, psychologists, pharmacists, nutritionists, physiotherapists, dentists, social workers, and numerous other professionals are expected to collaborate within multidisciplinary teams capable of addressing biological, psychological, and social dimensions of illness. Such collaborative practice strengthens continuity of care while recognizing that many health conditions require coordinated interventions involving different areas of professional expertise.

Equity represents another essential constitutional principle and is frequently misunderstood as synonymous with equality. Whereas equality implies identical treatment for all individuals, equity recognizes that populations experience different levels of social vulnerability, disease burden, and healthcare need. Consequently, equitable health policy seeks to allocate resources according to differential needs, prioritizing individuals and communities facing greater health risks or historical disadvantages. This principle acknowledges that identical distribution of services may inadvertently reinforce existing inequalities rather than reduce them.

The application of equity has significantly influenced numerous Brazilian public health programs targeting socially vulnerable populations,

CC BY

RSV
ISSN 2674-8584

Received: 20/07/2026 - **Accepted:** 21/08/2026
Vol: 08.08 - August
DOI: 10.66104/0d2dzk71
Pages: 1-16

including Indigenous peoples, Afro-Brazilian communities, rural populations, residents of remote regions, persons experiencing homelessness, and individuals living in areas characterized by extreme poverty. By directing additional investments toward underserved territories, equity seeks to reduce avoidable disparities in health outcomes while promoting greater social justice within healthcare delivery.

Decentralization constitutes another defining organizational feature of the SUS. Rather than concentrating decision-making exclusively within the federal government, healthcare responsibilities are distributed among federal, state, and municipal authorities. This administrative model allows health policies to be adapted according to regional demographic characteristics, epidemiological profiles, healthcare infrastructure, and local priorities. Decentralization also strengthens administrative flexibility by enabling municipalities to organize services according to their specific population needs while maintaining national policy coordination.

The decentralization process is closely associated with the principle of regionalization. Because individual municipalities often lack sufficient technical, financial, or human resources to provide all levels of healthcare independently, regional cooperation has become essential for organizing integrated healthcare networks. Regionalization promotes coordination among municipalities, specialized referral centers, hospitals, emergency services, diagnostic facilities, and public health agencies, thereby improving continuity of care across different levels of complexity. Effective regional planning also contributes to reducing duplication of services and enhancing the rational allocation of limited healthcare resources.

Hierarchical organization complements regionalization by structuring healthcare services according to increasing levels of technological complexity. Primary healthcare serves as the preferred point of entry into the system, emphasizing prevention, health promotion, chronic disease management, and continuous patient follow-up. Secondary and tertiary services provide progressively specialized diagnostic and therapeutic interventions when clinical conditions require greater technological sophistication. This hierarchical organization seeks to optimize efficiency while ensuring that patients receive appropriate care at the most suitable level of the health system.

Social participation distinguishes the SUS from many healthcare systems worldwide by institutionalizing citizen involvement in health governance. Through municipal, state, and national health councils, as well as periodic health conferences, civil society organizations, healthcare professionals, managers, and community representatives participate in policy discussions, priority setting, budget oversight, and evaluation of healthcare services. This participatory governance model reinforces democratic accountability while increasing transparency in public health decision-making.

CC BY

RSV
ISSN 2674-8584

Received: 20/07/2026 - **Accepted:** 21/08/2026
Vol: 08.08 - August
DOI: 10.66104/0d2dzk71
Pages: 1-16

The inclusion of social participation reflects the broader democratic values underlying Brazil's Health Reform Movement. Rather than perceiving healthcare policy as an exclusively technical domain reserved for governmental experts, the SUS recognizes that health policy inevitably involves ethical, political, cultural, and social choices that should incorporate public deliberation. Participatory governance therefore strengthens the legitimacy of health policies while fostering greater responsiveness to community needs and expectations.

The constitutional principles governing the SUS should not be interpreted as isolated legal provisions but rather as an integrated normative framework guiding every dimension of healthcare organization. Universality, comprehensiveness, equity, decentralization, regionalization, hierarchical organization, and social participation function as mutually reinforcing principles whose effectiveness depends upon coherent implementation across all administrative levels. Weaknesses affecting one principle frequently generate consequences for the functioning of the others, illustrating the systemic character of universal healthcare governance.

More than three decades after its establishment, the constitutional architecture of the SUS continues to provide a robust ethical and legal foundation for public healthcare in Brazil. Nevertheless, preserving these principles under conditions of demographic ageing, fiscal pressure, technological transformation, epidemiological transition, and increasing societal expectations requires continuous institutional adaptation. The enduring relevance of the SUS therefore depends not only upon preserving its constitutional values but also upon strengthening the governance capacities necessary to translate those principles into effective, equitable, and sustainable healthcare for present and future generations.

2. Contemporary Challenges to Universal Access

More than three decades after its establishment, the Brazilian Unified Health System (SUS) remains internationally recognized for its commitment to universal healthcare. However, the realization of universal access has become increasingly difficult as the health system confronts profound demographic, epidemiological, economic, technological, and institutional transformations. These challenges do not undermine the constitutional legitimacy of the SUS but rather expose the growing complexity of maintaining a universal healthcare system in a society characterized by persistent inequalities and rapidly changing health needs.

One of the most significant contemporary challenges is demographic ageing. Brazil has experienced one of the fastest demographic transitions in the world, with declining fertility rates and increasing life expectancy substantially

CC BY

RSV
ISSN 2674-8584

Received: 20/07/2026 - **Accepted:** 21/08/2026
Vol: 08.08 - August
DOI: 10.66104/0d2dzk71
Pages: 1-16

altering the age structure of the population. As the proportion of older adults grows, healthcare systems face increasing demand for long-term care, rehabilitation services, chronic disease management, pharmaceutical assistance, and integrated social support. Unlike acute healthcare models designed primarily for infectious diseases, ageing populations require continuous, coordinated, and multidisciplinary care over extended periods.

Closely associated with demographic ageing is the epidemiological transition from communicable diseases to chronic non-communicable diseases. Cardiovascular diseases, diabetes mellitus, cancer, chronic respiratory disorders, neurodegenerative conditions, obesity, and mental health disorders now account for a substantial proportion of morbidity and mortality in Brazil. Managing these conditions requires sustained investment in primary healthcare, early diagnosis, patient education, multidisciplinary follow-up, and preventive strategies capable of reducing avoidable complications and hospital admissions.

The coexistence of chronic diseases with persistent infectious diseases creates an additional burden for the SUS. Brazil continues to confront dengue, tuberculosis, HIV/AIDS, viral hepatitis, leprosy, malaria in specific regions, and emerging arboviruses while simultaneously addressing the healthcare demands associated with chronic illnesses. This dual epidemiological burden complicates health planning because financial, human, and technological resources must simultaneously support disease surveillance, outbreak response, preventive programs, and long-term clinical management.

Financing remains one of the most debated structural challenges affecting universal healthcare in Brazil. Although the SUS is constitutionally guaranteed as a universal public system, financial resources have frequently been considered insufficient to meet growing healthcare demands. Population ageing, technological incorporation, inflation in healthcare costs, expansion of specialized treatments, and increasing pharmaceutical expenditures continually place pressure on public budgets. Sustainable financing therefore remains fundamental to ensuring the long-term viability of universal health coverage.

Regional inequalities further complicate equitable access to healthcare services. Brazil's continental dimensions generate substantial disparities in economic development, infrastructure, transportation, healthcare workforce distribution, and technological availability. While large metropolitan centers often possess highly specialized hospitals and advanced diagnostic facilities, remote rural areas, Amazonian regions, and economically disadvantaged municipalities may experience shortages of physicians, specialists, equipment, and hospital capacity. Such territorial inequalities challenge the practical realization of constitutional universality.

CC BY

RSV
ISSN 2674-8584

Received: 20/07/2026 - **Accepted:** 21/08/2026
Vol: 08.08 - August
DOI: 10.66104/0d2dzk71
Pages: 1-16

Human resource management constitutes another major obstacle. Although Brazil has substantially increased the number of healthcare professionals over recent decades, their distribution remains uneven across regions and specialties. Urban centers generally attract greater numbers of physicians and specialists, whereas remote municipalities frequently struggle to recruit and retain qualified professionals. High turnover, limited continuing education opportunities, difficult working conditions, and inadequate career structures may reduce service continuity and compromise healthcare quality in underserved regions.

The incorporation of new medical technologies presents both opportunities and challenges for the SUS. Advances in biotechnology, precision medicine, robotics, digital diagnostics, genomic testing, and high-cost pharmaceuticals have transformed modern healthcare. Nevertheless, technological innovation is frequently accompanied by substantial financial costs, requiring careful evaluation regarding clinical effectiveness, cost-effectiveness, ethical implications, and budgetary sustainability. Decisions regarding technology incorporation increasingly require sophisticated health technology assessment mechanisms capable of balancing innovation with equitable resource allocation.

Digital transformation has emerged as another defining challenge for contemporary healthcare governance. Electronic health records, telemedicine, artificial intelligence, big data analytics, predictive algorithms, remote patient monitoring, and digital health platforms offer important opportunities to improve healthcare coordination and decision-making. However, successful implementation depends upon digital infrastructure, cybersecurity, professional training, regulatory frameworks, interoperability among information systems, and equitable access to digital technologies. Without these conditions, digital innovation may inadvertently reinforce existing inequalities rather than reduce them.

The judicialization of healthcare has become an increasingly influential phenomenon within Brazil's health system. Citizens frequently seek judicial intervention to obtain medications, medical procedures, diagnostic examinations, or technologies unavailable through existing administrative pathways. Although judicial decisions may protect individual rights, they also generate significant challenges for healthcare planning by redirecting financial resources toward individual demands that may not align with broader population health priorities. Balancing constitutional rights with evidence-based resource allocation remains an important governance dilemma.

Political instability and frequent changes in governmental priorities also affect the continuity of public health policies. Universal healthcare systems require long-term planning extending beyond electoral cycles, particularly regarding infrastructure investment, workforce development, digital transformation, and prevention

CC BY

RSV
ISSN 2674-8584

Received: 20/07/2026 - **Accepted:** 21/08/2026
Vol: 08.08 - August
DOI: 10.66104/0d2dzk71
Pages: 1-16

strategies. However, political transitions may modify funding priorities, managerial approaches, institutional leadership, and program continuity, creating uncertainty that complicates strategic planning and policy implementation throughout the health sector.

Social inequalities continue to exert profound influence on healthcare access despite the universal character of the SUS. Poverty, unemployment, educational disparities, racial discrimination, inadequate housing, food insecurity, and limited sanitation remain closely associated with differential patterns of illness and healthcare utilization. Vulnerable populations often experience greater exposure to health risks while simultaneously facing additional barriers to obtaining timely diagnosis, treatment, and preventive services. Consequently, universal healthcare cannot be fully achieved without broader public policies addressing the social determinants of health.

The COVID-19 pandemic highlighted both the strengths and vulnerabilities of the Brazilian health system. On one hand, the SUS demonstrated remarkable institutional capacity by coordinating surveillance activities, expanding intensive care capacity, organizing nationwide vaccination campaigns, and maintaining essential health services under extraordinary circumstances. On the other hand, the pandemic exposed longstanding weaknesses involving financing constraints, regional inequalities, supply chain limitations, workforce exhaustion, and fragmentation in health governance. These experiences reinforced the importance of resilient public health systems capable of responding to future emergencies.

Climate change and environmental degradation represent emerging challenges with growing implications for healthcare systems worldwide. Rising temperatures, extreme weather events, floods, droughts, vector expansion, air pollution, food insecurity, and environmental disasters increasingly influence disease patterns and healthcare demand in Brazil. The SUS must therefore expand its preparedness for climate-sensitive health risks while strengthening surveillance systems, emergency response capacity, and intersectoral collaboration addressing environmental determinants of health.

Ultimately, the contemporary challenges confronting universal access should not be interpreted as evidence of institutional failure but rather as indicators of the dynamic environment in which modern healthcare systems operate. Maintaining universal healthcare requires continuous adaptation, evidence-informed policymaking, sustainable financing, technological modernization, democratic governance, and strong public institutions capable of responding to evolving societal needs. The future resilience of the SUS will depend upon its capacity to reconcile constitutional principles with innovative strategies capable of preserving equity, comprehensiveness, and universality in an increasingly complex healthcare

CC BY

RSV
ISSN 2674-8584

Received: 20/07/2026 - **Accepted:** 21/08/2026
Vol: 08.08 - August
DOI: 10.66104/0d2dzk71
Pages: 1-16

landscape.

3. Strategies for Strengthening Universal Healthcare in Brazil

The long-term sustainability of Brazil's Unified Health System (SUS) depends not only on preserving its constitutional principles but also on continuously adapting its governance, financing, and organizational capacity to meet evolving health needs. Universal healthcare is not a static achievement but an ongoing political, administrative, and social process requiring permanent institutional strengthening. As demographic, epidemiological, technological, and economic conditions evolve, public policies must similarly evolve to ensure that constitutional guarantees remain effective in practice.

One of the most important strategies involves reinforcing Primary Health Care (PHC) as the foundation of the health system. International evidence consistently demonstrates that health systems organized around strong primary care achieve better population health outcomes, greater equity, improved continuity of care, and lower healthcare expenditures. Within the Brazilian context, expanding the capacity of Family Health Teams, increasing territorial coverage, and improving multidisciplinary coordination remain essential for reducing unnecessary hospitalizations and strengthening preventive healthcare.

Preventive healthcare should receive greater institutional priority throughout all levels of the SUS. Although curative medicine often receives greater political and public attention, investments in health promotion, disease prevention, vaccination, nutritional counseling, physical activity programs, tobacco control, mental health promotion, and early diagnosis produce substantial long-term benefits for both population health and financial sustainability. Preventive strategies reduce avoidable complications while allowing healthcare systems to allocate resources more efficiently.

Strengthening healthcare financing represents another indispensable strategy. Universal systems require stable, predictable, and sufficient public investment capable of supporting expanding healthcare demands. Long-term financial planning should consider demographic ageing, technological innovation, inflation in healthcare costs, workforce development, and regional infrastructure needs. Rather than treating healthcare expenditure solely as a fiscal burden, policymakers may increasingly recognize public health investment as a driver of social wellbeing, economic productivity, and national development.

Improving governance mechanisms is equally fundamental. Effective governance requires clear institutional responsibilities, transparent decision-making processes, evidence-informed policies, intergovernmental coordination, and

CC BY

RSV
ISSN 2674-8584

Received: 20/07/2026 - **Accepted:** 21/08/2026
Vol: 08.08 - August
DOI: 10.66104/0d2dzk71
Pages: 1-16

continuous performance evaluation. The complexity of the SUS demands close collaboration among federal, state, and municipal governments while maintaining coherent national priorities capable of reducing regional disparities. Strengthened governance also enhances accountability, public trust, and institutional resilience during periods of political or economic uncertainty.

Health information systems constitute another strategic priority. Reliable information is indispensable for planning healthcare services, monitoring epidemiological trends, evaluating policy effectiveness, and supporting managerial decision-making. The integration of electronic health records across different healthcare providers can improve continuity of care, reduce duplication of examinations, facilitate communication among professionals, and generate valuable data for health system management. Interoperable digital infrastructure therefore represents a critical component of contemporary healthcare governance.

Digital health technologies offer substantial opportunities to improve universal healthcare when implemented within appropriate regulatory and ethical frameworks. Telemedicine, remote patient monitoring, artificial intelligence, clinical decision-support systems, predictive analytics, and digital communication platforms may increase access to healthcare services, particularly in geographically remote regions. Nevertheless, technological innovation should complement rather than replace professional clinical judgment, ensuring that digital transformation remains centered on patient needs and equitable service delivery.

Investment in the healthcare workforce is equally essential for sustaining universal access. Healthcare professionals represent the principal resource of every health system, and their availability, competence, and motivation directly influence healthcare quality. Policies promoting continuous professional education, career development, equitable workforce distribution, adequate remuneration, and supportive working environments contribute to greater professional retention, particularly in underserved municipalities facing chronic shortages of qualified personnel.

Interprofessional collaboration should also be strengthened throughout healthcare delivery. Contemporary health challenges increasingly require multidisciplinary approaches integrating medicine, nursing, pharmacy, psychology, nutrition, physiotherapy, occupational therapy, dentistry, social work, and numerous other health professions. Collaborative practice improves patient-centered care by recognizing the biological, psychological, social, and environmental dimensions of health while facilitating comprehensive management of complex chronic conditions.

Addressing social determinants of health remains indispensable for achieving genuine universal healthcare. Medical services alone cannot eliminate health inequalities rooted in poverty, unemployment, inadequate housing,

CC BY

RSV
ISSN 2674-8584

Received: 20/07/2026 - **Accepted:** 21/08/2026
Vol: 08.08 - August
DOI: 10.66104/0d2dzk71
Pages: 1-16

educational disparities, food insecurity, environmental degradation, and structural discrimination. Effective health policy therefore requires close coordination with broader social protection policies addressing the conditions that shape population health throughout the life course. Intersectoral governance becomes essential for reducing preventable health disparities.

Community participation should continue to occupy a central position within SUS governance. Health councils, community organizations, patient associations, and civil society institutions contribute valuable perspectives regarding local healthcare needs, service quality, and policy priorities. Strengthening participatory governance enhances democratic legitimacy while encouraging greater transparency and accountability in public administration. Citizen engagement also facilitates the identification of emerging health challenges that may not be immediately visible through administrative indicators alone.

Health technology assessment should receive increasing institutional attention as medical innovation accelerates. Decisions concerning the incorporation of new pharmaceuticals, diagnostic technologies, medical devices, and digital tools should be guided by robust scientific evidence evaluating clinical effectiveness, safety, ethical implications, and economic sustainability. Transparent evaluation processes support equitable allocation of limited public resources while encouraging innovation that generates meaningful improvements in healthcare quality.

Research and innovation constitute strategic investments for the future development of the SUS. Universities, public research institutions, healthcare providers, and governmental agencies should strengthen collaborative networks capable of generating evidence relevant to Brazilian health priorities. Expanding implementation research, health services research, epidemiological surveillance, and policy evaluation contributes to evidence-informed decision-making while promoting continuous improvement throughout the health system. Scientific knowledge becomes particularly valuable when effectively translated into practical health policy.

International cooperation also offers important opportunities for institutional learning. Although healthcare systems differ considerably across countries, comparative experiences regarding financing models, digital transformation, workforce policies, integrated care, pharmaceutical regulation, and governance innovations may provide valuable insights for strengthening the SUS. International collaboration should not seek to replicate foreign models mechanically but rather adapt successful experiences to Brazil's unique constitutional, cultural, demographic, and institutional context.

Ultimately, preserving universal healthcare in Brazil requires recognizing that the SUS is both a constitutional achievement and a dynamic public

CC BY

RSV
ISSN 2674-8584

Received: 20/07/2026 - **Accepted:** 21/08/2026
Vol: 08.08 - August
DOI: 10.66104/0d2dzk71
Pages: 1-16

institution capable of continuous transformation. Future success will depend upon balancing innovation with equity, technological progress with social inclusion, fiscal responsibility with constitutional commitments, and managerial efficiency with democratic participation. By reinforcing these complementary dimensions, the SUS can continue serving as one of the world's largest and most ambitious universal healthcare systems while responding effectively to the increasingly complex health challenges of the twenty-first century.

Conclusion

Brazil's Unified Health System remains one of the most comprehensive expressions of the constitutional recognition of health as a fundamental human right. Since its establishment, the SUS has substantially expanded access to healthcare services, strengthened primary healthcare, improved national immunization programs, reduced important health inequalities, and consolidated public health as a central component of Brazilian social policy. Its constitutional principles—universality, comprehensiveness, equity, decentralization, regionalization, hierarchical organization, and social participation—continue to provide a robust normative framework capable of guiding healthcare governance in a highly diverse and unequal society. Nevertheless, maintaining these principles requires continuous institutional adaptation in response to demographic ageing, epidemiological transitions, technological innovation, fiscal constraints, regional disparities, environmental challenges, and growing societal expectations regarding healthcare quality and accessibility.

Ensuring the future sustainability of universal healthcare in Brazil depends upon strengthening governance, financing, workforce development, digital transformation, scientific research, intersectoral collaboration, and evidence-informed policymaking while preserving the ethical commitment to health as a universal social right. Rather than representing static constitutional ideals, the principles of the SUS should be understood as dynamic foundations supporting continuous institutional improvement. By integrating innovation with equity, efficiency with social justice, and technological advancement with democratic participation, Brazil can further consolidate the SUS as a resilient, inclusive, and sustainable universal healthcare system capable of responding effectively to the increasingly complex health challenges of the twenty-first century.

References

Brasil. (1988). *Constituição da República Federativa do Brasil de 1988*.

CC BY

RSV
ISSN 2674-8584

Received: 20/07/2026 - **Accepted:** 21/08/2026
Vol: 08.08 - August
DOI: 10.66104/0d2dzk71
Pages: 1-16

Presidência da República.

Brasil. (1990a). *Lei nº 8.080, de 19 de setembro de 1990. Dispõe sobre as condições para a promoção, proteção e recuperação da saúde, a organização e o funcionamento dos serviços correspondentes e dá outras providências*. Presidência da República.

Brasil. (1990b). *Lei nº 8.142, de 28 de dezembro de 1990. Dispõe sobre a participação da comunidade na gestão do Sistema Único de Saúde (SUS) e sobre as transferências intergovernamentais de recursos financeiros na área da saúde*. Presidência da República.

Castro, M. C., Massuda, A., Almeida, G., Menezes-Filho, N. A., Andrade, M. V., de Souza Noronha, K. V. M., Rocha, R., Macinko, J., Hone, T., Tasca, R., Giovanella, L., Malik, A. M., Werneck, H., Fachini, L. A., Atun, R., & Victora, C. G. (2019). Brazil's unified health system: The first 30 years and prospects for the future. *The Lancet, 394*(10195), 345–356.

Giovanella, L., Franco, C. M., & Almeida, P. F. (2020). National primary health care policy: Where are we headed to? *Ciência & Saúde Coletiva, 25*(4), 1475–1482.

Macinko, J., & Harris, M. J. (2015). Brazil's Family Health Strategy — Delivering community-based primary care in a universal health system. *New England Journal of Medicine, 372*(23), 2177–2181.

Massuda, A., Hone, T., Leles, F. A. G., de Castro, M. C., & Atun, R. (2018). The Brazilian health system at crossroads: Progress, crisis and resilience. *BMJ Global Health, 3*(4), e000829.

Organização Pan-Americana da Saúde. (2022). *Saúde universal nas Américas: Avanços e desafios*. OPAS.

Paim, J. S. (2018). *Sistema Único de Saúde (SUS): Tudo o que você precisa saber*. Editora Atheneu.

Paim, J. S., Travassos, C., Almeida, C., Bahia, L., & Macinko, J. (2011). The Brazilian health system: History, advances, and challenges. *The Lancet, 377*(9779), 1778–1797.

Santos, L. (2018). The right to health and the Brazilian Unified Health System. *Ciência & Saúde Coletiva, 23*(6), 1707–1714.

Victora, C. G., Castro, M. C., Gurzenda, S., Medeiros, A. C., França, G. V. A., & Barros, A. J. D. (2021). Estimating the early impact of COVID-19 on Brazil's routine immunisation programme. *The Lancet Global Health, 9*(5), e579–e580.

CC BY

ISSN 2674-8584

Received: 20/07/2026 - **Accepted:** 21/08/2026
Vol: 08.08 - August
DOI: 10.66104/0d2dzk71
Pages: 1-16

World Health Organization. (2021). *Global strategy on people-centred and integrated health services*. World Health Organization.

World Health Organization. (2023). *Primary health care measurement framework and indicators: Monitoring health systems through a primary health care lens*. World Health Organization.

World Health Organization. (2023). *World health statistics 2023: Monitoring health for the SDGs, sustainable development goals*. World Health Organization.

CC BY