RSV
ISSN 2674-8584

Received: 13/09/2026 - **Accepted:** 13/10/2026
Vol: 08.10
DOI: 10.66104/xskkc886
Pages: 1-8

Health Education for Effective Equitable Care in Ensuring Patient Safety

Ana Vitória Lamounier Baptista Marín
Universidade Federal do Triângulo Mineiro - UFTM

Weniton de Paulo Oliveira Filho
Universidade Federal de Uberlândia

Gabriela Eiras Ortoni
Universidad de Ciencias Empresariales y Sociales

Adriana Eugenio Novato da Silva
Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro

Felicidad Santos Gimenez
Universidad de Ciencias Empresariales y Sociales

Suzana do Ceu da Silva Rodrigues Costa
Universidad de Ciencias Empresariales y Sociales

Helena Maria Vianna Graça
Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro

Suzana Santos da Costa
Universidad de Ciencias Empresariales y Sociales

Abstract

This essay discusses the contribution of health education and health literacy to the delivery of effective and equitable care in public health. It is based on the recognition that understanding information, accessing and navigating health services, and participating in decision-making depend on both individual competencies and social conditions and institutional practices. A theoretical-reflective approach was adopted, drawing on scientific studies and key reference documents, which were selected purposively, without the intention of conducting a systematic review. The analysis addresses clinical communication, social determinants of health, community participation, primary health care, digital inclusion, and health service evaluation. It argues that the mere transmission of information does not ensure autonomy when linguistic, economic, cultural, and organizational barriers persist. The use of plain language, active listening, confirmation of understanding, and shared decision-making is advocated, alongside the simplification of care pathways. Health education should

CC BY

RSV
ISSN 2674-8584

Received: 13/09/2026 - **Accepted:** 13/10/2026
Vol: 08.10
DOI: 10.66104/xskkc886
Pages: 1-8

recognize and value service users' experiences and knowledge while avoiding practices that place blame on individuals. Equity also requires indicators capable of identifying inequalities in health outcomes and care experiences. It is concluded that health literacy is a shared responsibility among individuals, health professionals, institutions, and public policies. Educational strategies must therefore be integrated with efforts to strengthen primary health care and transform the conditions that hinder the effective exercise of the right to health. Social participation also contributes to the development of culturally appropriate interventions.

Keywords: Health Education; Health Literacy; Health Equity; Public Health; Primary Health Care.

Introduction

Equity in public health care depends on conditions that extend beyond the formal availability of medical appointments. Individuals with similar health needs may encounter substantially different barriers to understanding health guidance, navigating health services, and participating in clinical decision-making. In this context, health education and health literacy constitute relevant dimensions of quality of care and distributive justice (MARMOT et al., 2008; SØRENSEN et al., 2012).

Health literacy encompasses the competencies required to access, understand, appraise, and use health information in decision-making processes related to health. It cannot be reduced to formal literacy, as it emerges from the interaction between individual capabilities, the complexity of health-related messages, and institutional demands. This understanding shifts part of the responsibility to health services, which must communicate in ways that are clear, comprehensible, and accessible (NUTBEAM, 2000; SØRENSEN et al., 2012).

Health education, in turn, should not be restricted to the unilateral transmission of recommendations. Dialogical approaches value prior knowledge, living conditions, cultural contexts, and autonomy, thereby helping to explain why seemingly straightforward recommendations are not always feasible. Health promotion requires social participation and environments that enable individuals to make choices that are genuinely available to them (FREIRE, 1996; WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION, 1986).

CC BY

RSV
ISSN 2674-8584

Received: 13/09/2026 - **Accepted:** 13/10/2026
Vol: 08.10
DOI: 10.66104/xskkc886
Pages: 1-8

This essay examines how health education and health literacy can contribute to the delivery of effective and equitable care in public health. It argues that educational interventions, accessible clinical communication, professional training, and institutional policies must be coordinated with efforts to address the social determinants of health so that information can be translated into a genuine capacity to manage health and care (KICKBUSCH et al., 2013; WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION, 2022).

Methodology

This is a theoretical-reflective essay developed on the basis of scientific literature and institutional documents addressing health education, health literacy, equity, health care communication, and primary health care. Conceptual contributions, reviews, and recognized empirical studies were purposively selected, along with reports issued by international organizations. No systematic review protocol was adopted, and the aim was not to provide an exhaustive account of the available literature.

The discussion was organized around themes relating to social determinants of health, person-centered communication, institutional barriers, community-based education, and equity assessment. The arguments presented derive from interpretive analysis rather than primary data collection. Whenever relevant, evidence concerning specific interventions is distinguished from normative recommendations for the organization of health services.

Discussion

The first challenge is to recognize that difficulties in understanding health information are not fixed attributes of service users. Lengthy forms, technical terminology, contradictory instructions, and bureaucratic procedures can undermine accessibility even among individuals with high levels of formal education. Organizations committed to equity should reduce unnecessary complexity and assess the comprehensibility of their processes (BRACH et al., 2012; KICKBUSCH et al., 2013).

Health literacy encompasses functional, interactive, and critical dimensions. The ability to read a prescription is important, but so too is the capacity to ask questions, compare alternatives, and identify unreliable information. Health education should foster

CC BY

RSV
ISSN 2674-8584

Received: 13/09/2026 - **Accepted:** 13/10/2026
Vol: 08.10
DOI: 10.66104/xskkc886
Pages: 1-8

these competencies without turning individual difficulties into grounds for blame or exclusion (NUTBEAM, 2000; SØRENSEN et al., 2012).

Socioeconomic inequalities influence access to time, transportation, food, housing, and digital connectivity, thereby shaping individuals' ability to put health recommendations into practice. Consequently, advising behavioral changes without examining material barriers may result in recommendations that are formally appropriate but socially unfeasible. Equity requires responses proportionate to the needs and circumstances of each territory (MARMOT et al., 2008; WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION, 2008).

During clinical consultations, person-centered communication requires active listening, plain language, and genuine opportunities for patients to ask questions and clarify uncertainties. Asking patients to explain the agreed-upon care plan in their own words can help identify misunderstandings, provided that this technique is used to assess the clarity of professional communication rather than as an intimidating test of patients' knowledge (SCHILLINGER et al., 2003; TAMURA-LIS, 2013).

Written information should complement, rather than replace, dialogue. Concise materials with explicit objectives, consistent visual organization, and concrete instructions tend to facilitate comprehension; however, their suitability depends on the target population and context. Validation with actual service users is essential to prevent the development of materials that appear clear only to the professionals who produced them (BERKMAN et al., 2011; KICKBUSCH et al., 2013).

Patient participation in clinical decision-making strengthens autonomy and may promote choices that align with individual priorities. Shared decision-making requires the presentation of options, benefits, risks, and uncertainties in comprehensible language while respecting individual values. It cannot be achieved when the health care team offers only one recommendation and requests formal agreement (ELWYN et al., 2012; STREET et al., 2009).

In primary health care, continuity of care provides opportunities to revisit information, review goals, and adjust interventions over time. Health education becomes more consistent when integrated into the ongoing management of chronic conditions and community-based activities, preventing isolated campaigns from replacing enduring relationships of trust (STARFIELD; SHI; MACINKO, 2005; WAGNER, 1998).

CC BY

RSV
ISSN 2674-8584

Received: 13/09/2026 - **Accepted:** 13/10/2026
Vol: 08.10
DOI: 10.66104/xskkc886
Pages: 1-8

Intercultural communication requires recognition of linguistic differences and diverse understandings of health without assuming that social groups are internally homogeneous. Services that employ qualified interpreters, accessible language, and culturally appropriate strategies can reduce barriers, whereas relying on family members to provide ad hoc interpretation may compromise privacy and accuracy (FLORES, 2005; KICKBUSCH et al., 2013).

Digital health literacy has become an additional component of access to care. Electronic appointment scheduling, teleconsultations, and patient portals providing test results can facilitate access to services; however, they may also exclude individuals who lack devices, internet connectivity, or digital skills. Digitalization should preserve in-person channels and assisted alternatives, particularly in socially vulnerable communities (NORMAN; SKINNER, 2006; WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION, 2022).

Professional training should address communication techniques, an understanding of social determinants of health, and the recognition of power asymmetries. Clinical competence alone does not ensure mutual understanding when care remains rushed, hierarchical, or excessively technical. Educational strategies should incorporate practical exercises, supervision, and assessment of the patient experience (BRACH et al., 2012; STREET et al., 2009).

Collective actions can create spaces for the exchange of experiences and the development of shared knowledge. Community groups, local councils, and popular education initiatives facilitate the identification of problems that are unlikely to emerge during individual consultations. However, meaningful participation requires accessible opportunities for involvement and genuine influence over decision-making, rather than merely symbolic presence (FREIRE, 1996; WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION, 1986).

In the management of chronic diseases, health literacy influences the interpretation of warning signs, medication use, and navigation across different levels and services within the health care network. Review evidence has associated limited health literacy with adverse health outcomes, although the underlying mechanisms and magnitude of these associations vary according to the context and design of the studies (BERKMAN et al., 2011; SCHILLINGER et al., 2003).

The evaluation of educational programs should go beyond counting lectures delivered, educational materials distributed, or digital platform visits. Indicators of

CC BY

ISSN 2674-8584

Received: 13/09/2026 - **Accepted:** 13/10/2026
Vol: 08.10
DOI: 10.66104/xskkc886
Pages: 1-8

comprehension, participation in decision-making, continuity of care, and outcomes disaggregated by educational attainment, income, age, and geographic area make it possible to assess whether initiatives reduce or reproduce inequalities. Evaluation should incorporate the perspectives of service users themselves (BRACH et al., 2012; WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION, 2008).

Public policies can support the development of more accessible health care organizations through communication standards, professional training, funding, and intersectoral integration. Responsibility should not fall exclusively on individual health professionals, particularly when consultation time, infrastructure, and coordination with social assistance and education services are inadequate. Promoting equity depends on effective governance and institutional commitment (MARMOT et al., 2008; WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION, 2022).

Ultimately, effective and equitable care requires the integration of comprehensible information with genuine opportunities to act upon it. Health education cannot replace policies aimed at reducing poverty, ensuring access to medicines, and strengthening primary health care; however, it can enhance people's participation when integrated with these policies. The challenge is to transform health literacy and communication into shared responsibilities across the entire health care network (KICKBUSCH et al., 2013; STARFIELD; SHI; MACINKO, 2005).

Conclusion

Health education and health literacy are important instruments for promoting effective and equitable care, provided that they are understood as relational and institutional processes. Plain language, attentive listening, shared decision-making, and community participation can help overcome informational barriers; however, their effects depend on the social conditions that enable individuals to put knowledge into practice.

In public health, the priority should be to develop services that respond to the diversity of service users, strengthen primary health care, and assess outcomes using inequality-sensitive indicators. This essay provides an argumentative synthesis rather than an estimate of causal effectiveness. Participatory research and implementation evaluations may help clarify which combinations of strategies are most appropriate in different contexts.

CC BY

RSV
ISSN 2674-8584

Received: 13/09/2026 - **Accepted:** 13/10/2026
Vol: 08.10
DOI: 10.66104/xskkc886
Pages: 1-8

Referências

BERKMAN, N. D. et al. Low health literacy and health outcomes: an updated systematic review. Annals of Internal Medicine, v. 155, n. 2, p. 97-107, 2011. DOI: 10.7326/0003-4819-155-2-201107190-00005.

BRACH, C. et al. Ten attributes of health literate health care organizations. Washington, DC: Institute of Medicine, 2012. Disponível em: https://nam.edu/perspectives-2012-ten-attributes-of-health-literate-health-care-organizations/.

ELWYN, G. et al. Shared decision making: a model for clinical practice. Journal of General Internal Medicine, v. 27, p. 1361-1367, 2012. DOI: 10.1007/s11606-012-2077-6.

FLORES, G. The impact of medical interpreter services on the quality of health care: a systematic review. Medical Care Research and Review, v. 62, n. 3, p. 255-299, 2005. DOI: 10.1177/1077558705275416.

FREIRE, P. Pedagogia da autonomia: saberes necessários à prática educativa. São Paulo: Paz e Terra, 1996.

KICKBUSCH, I. et al. Health literacy: the solid facts. Copenhagen: WHO Regional Office for Europe, 2013. Disponível em: https://iris.who.int/handle/10665/326432.

MARMOT, M. et al. Closing the gap in a generation: health equity through action on the social determinants of health. The Lancet, v. 372, n. 9650, p. 1661-1669, 2008. DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(08)61690-6.

NORMAN, C. D.; SKINNER, H. A. eHealth literacy: essential skills for consumer health in a networked world. Journal of Medical Internet Research, v. 8, n. 2, e9, 2006. DOI: 10.2196/jmir.8.2.e9.

NUTBEAM, D. Health literacy as a public health goal: a challenge for contemporary health education and communication strategies into the 21st century. Health Promotion International, v. 15, n. 3, p. 259-267, 2000. DOI: 10.1093/heapro/15.3.259.

SCHILLINGER, D. et al. Closing the loop: physician communication with diabetic patients who have low health literacy. Archives of Internal Medicine, v. 163, n. 1, p. 83-90, 2003. DOI: 10.1001/archinte.163.1.83.

SØRENSEN, K. et al. Health literacy and public health: a systematic review and integration of definitions and models. BMC Public Health, v. 12, art. 80, 2012. DOI: 10.1186/1471-2458-12-80.

STARFIELD, B.; SHI, L.; MACINKO, J. Contribution of primary care to health systems and health. Milbank Quarterly, v. 83, n. 3, p. 457-502, 2005. DOI: 10.1111/j.1468-0009.2005.00409.x.

STREET, R. L. et al. How does communication heal? Pathways linking clinician-patient communication to health outcomes. Patient Education and Counseling, v. 74, n. 3, p. 295-301, 2009. DOI: 10.1016/j.pec.2008.11.015.

CC BY

ISSN 2674-8584

Received: 13/09/2026 - **Accepted:** 13/10/2026
Vol: 08.10
DOI: 10.66104/xskkc886
Pages: 1-8

TAMURA-LIS, W. Teach-back for quality education and patient safety. Urologic Nursing, v. 33, n. 6, p. 267-271, 2013.

WAGNER, E. H. Chronic disease management: what will it take to improve care for chronic illness? Effective Clinical Practice, v. 1, n. 1, p. 2-4, 1998.

WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION. Ottawa Charter for Health Promotion. Geneva: WHO, 1986.

WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION. Closing the gap in a generation: health equity through action on the social determinants of health. Geneva: WHO, 2008.

WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION. World mental health report: transforming mental health for all. Geneva: WHO, 2022.

CC BY