RSV
ISSN 2674-8584

Received: 13/08/2026 - **Accepted:** 08/09/2026
Vol: 08.09
DOI: 10.66104/mkt00v35
Pages: 1-12

Mental Health of Healthcare Professionals: Working Conditions, Associated Factors, and Challenges for Care

Anderson Machado Teixeira Dias
Graduando em Medicina
UNEX Jequié, Brasil

Maria Aparecida de Almeida Araújo
Doutoranda em Saúde Pública
Universidad de Ciencias Empresariales y Sociales, Argentina

Átila Barros Magalhães
Professor
Universidade do Estado do Pará, Brasil

Erica Souza da Silva
Doutoranda em Saúde Pública
Universidad de Ciencias Empresariales y Sociales, Argentina

Helena Vilela da Cunha
Mestre em Gestão de Ciência da Saúde
Musty University

Maria Marlene Fernandes
Universidade Federal de Uberlândia, Brasil

Pamella Caroline Alves Tinoco
Biomédica
Presidente Antônio Carlos-UNIPAC, Brasil

Vera Lucia dos Santos
Universidade Federal de Uberlândia, Brasil

CC BY

ISSN 2674-8584

Received: 13/08/2026 - **Accepted:** 08/09/2026
Vol: 08.09
DOI: 10.66104/mkt00v35
Pages: 1-12

Abstract

The mental health of healthcare professionals has become an increasingly important public health and occupational health concern. Healthcare workers are exposed to intense workloads, emotional demands, long working hours, staff shortages, organizational pressures, violence, ethical conflicts, and continuous responsibility for human lives. These conditions may contribute to stress, burnout, anxiety, depression, sleep disturbances, moral distress, and other forms of psychological suffering. This academic essay discusses the relationship between healthcare professionals' mental health, working conditions, associated factors, and challenges for care. Particular attention is given to workload, organizational culture, professional relationships, work-life balance, violence, precarious employment, moral injury, emotional demands, and barriers to seeking psychological support. The discussion also considers the consequences of workers' mental health for patient safety, quality of care, absenteeism, turnover, and sustainability of health systems. Mental health promotion among healthcare professionals requires more than individual resilience strategies and should prioritize organizational changes, supportive leadership, adequate staffing, safe workplaces, access to confidential care, and policies that recognize workers' psychological well-being as a fundamental component of healthcare quality.

Keywords: Mental health; Healthcare professionals; Working conditions; Burnout; Occupational health.

1. Introduction

Healthcare professionals occupy a central position in the functioning of health systems and in the protection of individual and collective health. Physicians, nurses, psychologists, pharmacists, dentists, physiotherapists, community health workers, technicians, and other professionals are responsible for activities ranging from health promotion and disease prevention to diagnosis, treatment, rehabilitation, palliative care, and public health surveillance. Despite the social importance of their work, healthcare professionals frequently perform their activities under intense pressure, with substantial emotional and organizational demands that may affect their own mental health.

The relationship between work and mental health is complex. Work can provide purpose, social identity, financial security, interpersonal relationships, recognition, and opportunities for personal development. At the same time, poorly organized work can expose individuals to excessive workloads, insufficient control, insecurity, discrimination, violence, inadequate resources, and conflicts between professional

CC BY

RSV
ISSN 2674-8584

Received: 13/08/2026 - **Accepted:** 08/09/2026
Vol: 08.09
DOI: 10.66104/mkt00v35
Pages: 1-12

and personal responsibilities. Healthcare environments may concentrate several of these risks simultaneously because professionals frequently work with suffering, uncertainty, death, emergencies, and high expectations regarding the quality and safety of care.

Mental health problems among healthcare professionals have received increased attention in recent years, particularly following the COVID-19 pandemic. The pandemic intensified pre-existing weaknesses in many health systems and exposed professionals to unprecedented workloads, infection risks, shortages of resources, uncertainty, prolonged working hours, and repeated experiences of death and suffering. However, the mental health crisis among healthcare workers cannot be attributed exclusively to the pandemic. Many of the determinants associated with psychological distress existed previously and remain present in contemporary healthcare organizations.

Burnout has become one of the most frequently discussed occupational phenomena in healthcare. It is characterized by a combination of emotional exhaustion, psychological distance or cynicism toward work, and reduced professional efficacy. Although burnout is not synonymous with a psychiatric disorder, persistent occupational stress and burnout may coexist with or contribute to broader mental health difficulties. Anxiety, depressive symptoms, sleep disturbances, substance use, post-traumatic symptoms, and suicidal ideation may occur in professionals exposed to prolonged and poorly managed occupational stress.

The mental health of healthcare professionals also has implications beyond the individual worker. Psychological distress can affect concentration, communication, decision-making, empathy, professional relationships, and adherence to safety procedures. High levels of burnout and poor well-being may contribute to absenteeism, presenteeism, intention to leave the profession, staff turnover, and difficulties in retaining qualified professionals. Consequently, protecting healthcare workers' mental health should not be viewed merely as a welfare initiative but as an essential component of patient safety, quality of care, and health-system sustainability.

This academic essay discusses the mental health of healthcare professionals by examining working conditions, associated individual and organizational factors, and the principal challenges for care. The discussion adopts a public health and occupational health perspective, emphasizing that psychological well-being at work is influenced by interactions between individuals and the organizations in which they operate. It argues that effective responses must move beyond individualized approaches and address the structural, organizational, relational, and cultural conditions that shape the experience of healthcare work.

CC BY

RSV
ISSN 2674-8584

Received: 13/08/2026 - **Accepted:** 08/09/2026
Vol: 08.09
DOI: 10.66104/mkt00v35
Pages: 1-12

2. Methods

This study was developed as an academic essay based on a narrative and critical examination of scientific and institutional literature concerning mental health among healthcare professionals, occupational stress, burnout, psychosocial risks, working conditions, moral distress, moral injury, organizational interventions, and healthcare quality. The discussion was informed by recent systematic reviews, meta-analyses, international guidelines, and reports from recognized organizations addressing mental health at work and the health workforce.

The essay does not present original empirical data and does not constitute a systematic review. Its purpose is conceptual and argumentative, integrating evidence from different fields to discuss the relationship between professional working conditions and mental health. The references used to support the discussion are presented exclusively at the end of the text, without in-text citations, following the requested structure. The reference list is organized according to APA 7th edition standards.

3. Development

Mental health among healthcare professionals should initially be understood as a multidimensional phenomenon. Psychological well-being is not limited to the absence of psychiatric disorders but includes the capacity to cope with everyday demands, maintain interpersonal relationships, make decisions, experience professional meaning, and function effectively within and outside the workplace. Consequently, assessing healthcare professionals' mental health requires attention to both clinical outcomes and the conditions under which work is performed.

Healthcare work has characteristics that distinguish it from many other occupations. Professionals frequently encounter illness, pain, disability, death, uncertainty, emergencies, and situations in which decisions must be made under time pressure. They may also be required to communicate difficult information to patients and families while simultaneously controlling their own emotional responses. This combination of technical responsibility and emotional involvement can create significant psychological demands, particularly when professionals have limited opportunities to recover between difficult experiences.

Workload is one of the most important factors associated with psychological distress among healthcare professionals. High patient volumes, insufficient staffing,

CC BY

RSV
ISSN 2674-8584

Received: 13/08/2026 - **Accepted:** 08/09/2026
Vol: 08.09
DOI: 10.66104/mkt00v35
Pages: 1-12

administrative demands, documentation requirements, interruptions, overtime, and extended shifts can create a continuous perception of insufficient time. When workload becomes incompatible with available human and material resources, professionals may experience frustration, exhaustion, and a persistent feeling that they cannot provide the quality of care they consider appropriate.

Staff shortages can intensify this process. When fewer professionals are available, the workload of remaining workers increases, reducing opportunities for breaks, professional development, and recovery. Over time, this can create a cycle in which exhaustion contributes to absenteeism and turnover, which subsequently increases pressure on those who remain. Health systems may therefore experience a self-reinforcing process in which inadequate staffing contributes to poorer mental health, while deteriorating mental health contributes to further workforce instability.

Working hours and shift schedules represent another important dimension. Night work, rotating shifts, long working days, and unpredictable schedules can interfere with sleep, family relationships, physical activity, nutrition, and social life. Healthcare professionals who work irregular schedules may experience difficulty maintaining routines outside work and may have fewer opportunities for recovery. When combined with high workload and emotional demands, disrupted schedules can increase vulnerability to chronic fatigue and psychological distress.

The boundary between professional and personal life is particularly relevant. Healthcare professionals may take work-related concerns home, think about difficult patients after their shifts, or remain available through digital communication. Administrative systems and electronic communication can extend the workday beyond the physical workplace. When professional demands occupy personal time continuously, opportunities for psychological recovery are reduced and the distinction between work and private life becomes increasingly difficult to maintain.

Organizational culture also influences mental health. In some healthcare settings, professional identity may be associated with strength, endurance, self-sacrifice, and the expectation of continuing to work despite exhaustion. Such cultures can unintentionally normalize excessive workload and discourage professionals from acknowledging psychological difficulties. The idea that a competent healthcare worker should be able to tolerate any degree of pressure can create stigma around seeking help.

The culture of invulnerability may be particularly strong among physicians and other highly trained professionals. Years of competitive education and professional selection may reinforce expectations of perfection, autonomy, and emotional control. Professionals may fear that acknowledging psychological distress will be interpreted

CC BY

RSV
ISSN 2674-8584

Received: 13/08/2026 - **Accepted:** 08/09/2026
Vol: 08.09
DOI: 10.66104/mkt00v35
Pages: 1-12

as weakness, incompetence, or inability to perform their duties. As a result, some may delay seeking assistance until symptoms become severe.

Leadership and management are therefore central to mental health in healthcare organizations. Supervisors who communicate clearly, recognize professional contributions, respond appropriately to concerns, and involve workers in decisions can contribute to a more supportive environment. Conversely, authoritarian management, poor communication, lack of recognition, unpredictable decision-making, and inadequate responses to workplace problems may intensify psychological distress.

Professional relationships also have an important influence. Healthcare is fundamentally collaborative, requiring coordination between different professions and levels of responsibility. Poor teamwork, interpersonal conflicts, bullying, harassment, discrimination, and communication failures can transform the workplace into a source of psychological risk. A technically competent organization can still be psychologically harmful if professional relationships are characterized by hostility, humiliation, competition, or lack of respect.

Violence against healthcare professionals represents another significant occupational risk. Verbal aggression, threats, physical violence, harassment, and intimidation may occur in emergency departments, psychiatric services, primary care, home care, community settings, and other environments. Repeated exposure to aggression can produce fear, emotional exhaustion, reduced job satisfaction, and perceptions of insecurity. Protecting professionals from violence is therefore both an occupational safety issue and a mental health intervention.

Emotional labour is another defining characteristic of healthcare work. Professionals are often expected to demonstrate empathy, calmness, confidence, and compassion even when they themselves are experiencing fear, frustration, grief, or exhaustion. The need to regulate emotional expression may become particularly demanding when professionals are caring for patients with severe illnesses or when families are distressed. Without opportunities for emotional processing and support, repeated exposure to these experiences can accumulate psychologically.

Moral distress represents a particularly important dimension of healthcare workers' mental health. It may arise when professionals recognize what they believe would be ethically appropriate but are unable to act accordingly because of organizational limitations, resource constraints, policies, hierarchy, or other barriers. Situations involving inadequate staffing, limited treatment options, conflicting priorities, or pressure to discharge patients prematurely may generate a painful discrepancy between professional values and actual practice.

CC BY

RSV
ISSN 2674-8584

Received: 13/08/2026 - **Accepted:** 08/09/2026
Vol: 08.09
DOI: 10.66104/mkt00v35
Pages: 1-12

Moral injury extends this discussion by considering the psychological consequences of experiences that violate deeply held moral beliefs. Healthcare professionals may experience moral injury when they perceive that they have participated in, witnessed, or been unable to prevent actions that conflict with their ethical standards. Such experiences can produce guilt, shame, anger, betrayal, loss of professional meaning, and psychological distress. Importantly, moral injury is not simply an individual weakness but may reflect organizational and structural conditions.

The consequences of chronic occupational stress can extend beyond emotional exhaustion. Persistent exposure to demanding working conditions may be associated with anxiety, depressive symptoms, sleep disturbances, reduced concentration, irritability, somatic complaints, and other manifestations of psychological distress. In some cases, professionals may also develop more severe mental health conditions that require specialized assessment and treatment. Recognizing these possibilities is essential because normalizing distress may delay access to appropriate care.

The relationship between burnout and mental disorders requires conceptual clarity. Burnout is primarily understood as an occupational phenomenon associated with chronic workplace stress, whereas depression and anxiety are clinical conditions with broader diagnostic implications. Nevertheless, these phenomena can overlap and interact. A professional experiencing severe burnout may also develop depressive or anxiety symptoms, while pre-existing mental health difficulties may increase vulnerability to occupational stress. Appropriate assessment should therefore avoid reducing all psychological suffering to a single label.

Healthcare professionals may also face increased risks related to substance use and other maladaptive coping strategies. When work becomes overwhelming and access to appropriate psychological support is limited, some individuals may attempt to manage distress through alcohol, medication, excessive work, social withdrawal, or other behaviours. These responses should not be interpreted simply as personal failures. They may reflect the absence of adequate organizational mechanisms for recovery, support, and treatment.

Sleep deserves particular attention because it is both affected by healthcare work and capable of intensifying psychological vulnerability. Night shifts, prolonged working hours, emergency calls, and irregular schedules can compromise sleep duration and quality. Persistent sleep deprivation may impair attention, emotional regulation, decision-making, and physical health. Consequently, strategies designed to protect healthcare professionals' mental health should include working-time organization and adequate recovery periods rather than focusing exclusively on psychological techniques.

CC BY

RSV
ISSN 2674-8584

Received: 13/08/2026 - **Accepted:** 08/09/2026
Vol: 08.09
DOI: 10.66104/mkt00v35
Pages: 1-12

The COVID-19 pandemic demonstrated how quickly occupational pressures can escalate in healthcare settings. Professionals faced high levels of uncertainty, rapidly changing protocols, infection risks, prolonged workloads, resource limitations, and repeated exposure to death. The pandemic also revealed the importance of organizational preparedness. Mental health protection cannot be improvised only after a crisis begins; health systems need structures that can rapidly provide staffing support, communication, psychological assistance, rest, and occupational protection during emergencies.

However, the persistence of mental health difficulties after the acute phase of the pandemic demonstrates that the problem is broader than crisis exposure. Many healthcare systems continue to experience workforce shortages, increased demand, administrative burden, financial constraints, and difficulties retaining professionals. These conditions can sustain chronic occupational stress even in the absence of a major emergency. Long-term solutions must therefore address the everyday organization of healthcare work.

Another challenge involves access to mental healthcare for professionals themselves. Healthcare workers may know how to access psychological or psychiatric services but still avoid doing so because of stigma, confidentiality concerns, fear of professional consequences, lack of time, or perceptions that their difficulties are not severe enough. In some systems, professionals may also fear that disclosure could affect licensing, career progression, or professional reputation. Confidential and easily accessible services are therefore essential.

Individual interventions can be useful, but they should not become substitutes for organizational change. Mindfulness, cognitive-behavioural interventions, peer support, stress-management programs, and digital mental health resources may reduce symptoms and strengthen coping. Nevertheless, their impact may be limited when workers return to the same excessive workload, inadequate staffing, hostile management, and unsafe environment. Supporting individuals while leaving harmful working conditions unchanged risks placing responsibility for organizational problems on workers themselves.

Organizational interventions have greater potential to address the causes of occupational distress. These may include improving staffing levels, modifying workloads, increasing schedule flexibility, redesigning tasks, strengthening teamwork, improving communication, providing managerial training, and creating mechanisms for workers to participate in organizational decisions. Evidence from recent systematic reviews indicates that interventions targeting working conditions can produce meaningful improvements in burnout and other mental health outcomes.

CC BY

ISSN 2674-8584

Received: 13/08/2026 - **Accepted:** 08/09/2026
Vol: 08.09
DOI: 10.66104/mkt00v35
Pages: 1-12

The concept of psychological safety is also relevant. Professionals should feel able to express concerns, acknowledge mistakes, request assistance, and discuss difficult situations without fear of humiliation or retaliation. Psychological safety can support learning and teamwork while reducing the pressure to conceal difficulties. In healthcare environments, this is especially important because patient safety depends on professionals being able to communicate uncertainty and identify problems.

Mental health should also be incorporated into occupational health policies. Organizations should systematically identify psychosocial risks, monitor indicators of workload and well-being, establish confidential pathways for assistance, and evaluate the effects of organizational changes. Mental health promotion should not depend solely on voluntary programs or individual initiatives. It should become part of institutional governance, similar to infection prevention, physical safety, and quality improvement.

The consequences for patient care make this approach even more important. Healthcare professionals experiencing severe exhaustion or psychological distress may have reduced concentration, impaired communication, lower empathy, and decreased engagement. High burnout can also contribute to intention to leave, which affects workforce stability. Protecting workers' mental health is therefore inseparable from protecting patients and maintaining the continuity and quality of healthcare services.

Finally, the mental health of healthcare professionals should be recognized as a collective responsibility. Individual resilience is valuable, but resilience cannot compensate indefinitely for unsafe or unsustainable working conditions. Healthcare organizations, professional councils, managers, governments, unions, educational institutions, and society all have roles in creating healthier workplaces. A sustainable health system requires professionals who are not only technically prepared to care for others but are also supported in preserving their own physical and psychological well-being.

4. Conclusion

The mental health of healthcare professionals is profoundly influenced by the conditions under which healthcare work is organized. Excessive workloads, staff shortages, long and irregular working hours, emotional demands, violence, poor organizational culture, inadequate leadership, interpersonal conflicts, moral distress, and limited opportunities for recovery can create substantial psychosocial risks. These factors may contribute to burnout, anxiety, depressive symptoms, sleep disturbances, moral injury, and other forms of psychological suffering. The consequences extend

CC BY

ISSN 2674-8584

Received: 13/08/2026 - **Accepted:** 08/09/2026
Vol: 08.09
DOI: 10.66104/mkt00v35
Pages: 1-12

beyond individual well-being and may affect patient safety, quality of care, workforce retention, absenteeism, and the sustainability of health systems.

Protecting healthcare professionals therefore requires a shift from predominantly individual approaches toward organizational and systemic strategies. Psychological support, confidential mental healthcare, peer support, and interventions that strengthen coping remain important, but they must be accompanied by adequate staffing, reasonable workloads, safer schedules, supportive leadership, psychological safety, protection against violence, and meaningful participation in workplace decisions. Mental health should be incorporated into occupational health and healthcare governance as a fundamental component of quality. Caring for those who provide care is not an optional benefit but an essential condition for building safe, humane, resilient, and sustainable health systems.

References

Anger, W. K., Dimoff, J. K., & Alley, L. (2024). Addressing health care workers' mental health: A systematic review of evidence-based interventions and current resources. *American Journal of Public Health, 114*(S2), S213–S226. https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2023.307556

Anastasi, G., Gravante, F., Barbato, P., Bambi, S., Stievano, A., & Latina, R. (2025). Moral injury and mental health outcomes in nurses: A systematic review. *Nursing Ethics, 32*(3), 698–723. https://doi.org/10.1177/09697330241281376

Aust, B., Leduc, C., Cresswell-Smith, J., O'Brien, C., Rugulies, R., Leduc, M., Ni Dhalaigh, D., Dushaj, A., Fanaj, N., Guinart, D., Maxwell, M., Reich, H., Ross, V., Sadath, A., Schnitzspahn, K., Tóth, M. D., van Audenhove, C., van Weeghel, J., Wahlbeck, K., Arensman, E., & Greiner, B. A. (2024). The effects of different types of organisational workplace mental health interventions on mental health and wellbeing in healthcare workers: A systematic review. *International Archives of Occupational and Environmental Health, 97*(5), 485–522. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00420-024-02065-z

Beadle, E. S., Walecka, A., Sangam, A. V., Moorhouse, J., Winter, M., Wild, H. M., Trivedi, D., & Casarin, A. (2024). Triggers and factors associated with moral distress and moral injury in health and social care workers: A systematic review of qualitative studies. *PLOS ONE, 19*(6), e0303013. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0303013

CC BY

RSV
ISSN 2674-8584

Received: 13/08/2026 - **Accepted:** 08/09/2026
Vol: 08.09
DOI: 10.66104/mkt00v35
Pages: 1-12

Brennan, C. J., Roberts, C., & Cole, J. C. (2024). Prevalence of occupational moral injury and post-traumatic embitterment disorder: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ Open, 14*(2), e071776. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2023-071776

Coimbra, B. M., Zylberstajn, C., van Zuiden, M., Hoeboer, C. M., Feijo Mello, A., Feijo Mello, M., & Olff, M. (2024). Moral injury and mental health among health-care workers during the COVID-19 pandemic: Meta-analysis. *European Journal of Psychotraumatology, 15*(1), 2299659. https://doi.org/10.1080/20008066.2023.2299659

International Labour Organization, & World Health Organization. (2022). *Mental health at work: Policy brief*. World Health Organization. https://doi.org/10.2471/9789240057944

Ryan, E., Hore, K., Power, J., & Jackson, T. (2023). The relationship between physician burnout and depression, anxiety, suicidality and substance abuse: A mixed methods systematic review. *Frontiers in Public Health, 11*, 1133484. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2023.1133484

Sanz, S., Valiente, C., Espinosa, R., & Trucharte, A. (2024). Psychological group interventions for reducing distress symptoms in healthcare workers: A systematic review. *Clinical Psychology & Psychotherapy, 31*(3), e2980. https://doi.org/10.1002/cpp.2980

Sialakis, C., Sialaki, P. A., Frantzana, A., Iliadis, C., Ouzounakis, P., & Kourkouta, L. (2023). Prevalence of anxiety and depression of health care workers during COVID-19: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Medical and Pharmaceutical Reports, 96*(3), 246–253. https://doi.org/10.15386/mpr-2579

Spencer-Hwang, R., Cruz, A., Ong, M. Y., Chitanda, A., Harvey, Y., Hwang, J., Shah, H., Tamares, S., & Wilber, L. (2024). Prevalence of burnout among public health professionals: A systematic review. *Journal of Public Health Management and Practice, 30*(3), 384–393. https://doi.org/10.1097/PHH.0000000000001887

World Health Organization. (2022a). *Guidelines on mental health at work*. https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240053052

World Health Organization. (2022b). *Mental health at work: Policy brief*. https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240057944

World Health Organization. (2022c). *Our duty of care: A global call to action to protect the mental health of health and care workers*. https://www.who.int/publications/m/item/wish_report

CC BY

RSV
ISSN 2674-8584

Received: 13/08/2026 - **Accepted:** 08/09/2026
Vol: 08.09
DOI: 10.66104/mkt00v35
Pages: 1-12

World Health Organization. (2024). *Protecting health and care workers' mental health and well-being: Technical consultation meeting*. https://www.who.int/news/item/25-04-2024-202404_protecthw_mentalhealth

World Health Organization. (2024). *Mental health at work*. https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/mental-health-at-work

Zhang, Z., Hu, Y., Liu, S., Feng, X., Yang, J., Cheng, L. J., Ramazanu, S., & Wu, X. V. (2024). The effectiveness of e-mental health interventions on stress, anxiety, and depression among healthcare professionals: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Systematic Reviews, 13*, 144. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13643-024-02565-6

Zhu, H., Yang, X., Xie, S., & Zhou, J. (2023). Prevalence of burnout and mental health problems among medical staff during the COVID-19 pandemic: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ Open, 13*(7), e061945. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2022-061945

CC BY