RSV
ISSN 2674-8584

Received: 13/01/2026 - **Accepted:** 13/02/2026
Vol: 08.09
DOI: 10.66104/effcd052
Pages: 1-10

Managerial Capacity and Health Service Performance: Contemporary Challenges for Public Health System Management

Suzana do Ceu Silva Rodrigues Costa
Universidad de Ciencias Empresariales y Sociales

Priscila Castro Cordeiro Fernandes
Universidade Federal de Uberlandia

Juliana Mara Silva
Universidade Federal de Uberlandia

Rosana Gonçalves de Sousa
Universidade Federal de Uberlandia

Lilian Aparecida Borges Silva
Universidade Federal de Uberlandia

Felipe Flavio Silva
Universidade Federal de Uberlandia

Ludmila Vohryzek Sommer
Universidade Católica Dom Bosco

Mario Angelo Cenedesi Junior
Universidade de Coimbra

Abstract

Managerial capacity has become a central determinant of how effectively public health systems transform available resources into accessible, equitable, efficient, and high-quality services. This academic essay discusses the relationship between managerial capacity and health service performance, considering contemporary

CC BY

RSV
ISSN 2674-8584

Received: 13/01/2026 - **Accepted:** 13/02/2026
Vol: 08.09
DOI: 10.66104/effcd052
Pages: 1-10

challenges associated with governance, workforce management, technological transformation, resource constraints, and increasing population needs. The discussion is organized around three dimensions: managerial capacity as a structural component of health systems; performance measurement and evidence-informed decision-making; and emerging challenges for public health management. It argues that managerial performance cannot be reduced to administrative efficiency or financial control, since health organizations operate within complex institutional, political, professional, and social environments. Strengthening management therefore requires qualified leadership, reliable information systems, institutional coordination, organizational learning, and accountability mechanisms. Developing these capacities is essential for improving health service performance while preserving equity, responsiveness, sustainability, and the public value of health systems.

Keywords: Health Services Administration; Health Services; Health Systems; Health Planning; Quality of Health Care.

1. Introduction

Public health systems are complex organizations responsible for transforming financial, technological, human, and institutional resources into services capable of responding to population health needs. Their performance depends not only on the availability of these resources but also on the capacity to organize, coordinate, allocate, and monitor them effectively. Management therefore represents a fundamental dimension of health system functioning and an important determinant of the quality and sustainability of healthcare delivery.

Contemporary health systems operate under increasing pressure. Population ageing, epidemiological transitions, workforce shortages, technological innovation, growing healthcare costs, inequalities, and changing expectations regarding access and quality have expanded the responsibilities of public managers. At the same time, health organizations must reconcile multiple objectives, including efficiency, equity, responsiveness, quality, financial sustainability, and resilience, which are not always easily compatible within existing institutional arrangements.

Managerial capacity becomes particularly important because resources alone do not determine health system performance. Similar levels of expenditure, infrastructure, or workforce availability may generate different results depending on how services are planned, coordinated, monitored, and integrated. Management functions as the organizational mechanism through which policies and resources are translated into concrete practices, making managerial capacity an important link between health system structures and population-level outcomes.

CC BY

RSV
ISSN 2674-8584

Received: 13/01/2026 - **Accepted:** 13/02/2026
Vol: 08.09
DOI: 10.66104/effcd052
Pages: 1-10

This essay discusses the relationship between managerial capacity and health service performance from a public health perspective. Rather than treating management as an exclusively technical or administrative activity, it approaches managerial capacity as a multidimensional institutional resource involving leadership, planning, coordination, information, decision-making, workforce management, accountability, and organizational learning. The discussion examines how these dimensions influence performance and considers the challenges public health managers face in increasingly complex health systems.

2. Methodology

This study is an academic essay based on a critical and interpretative discussion of managerial capacity and health service performance. Academic essays allow theoretical concepts, institutional perspectives, and contemporary challenges to be examined through argumentative analysis without following the methodological procedures of a systematic or scoping review. The manuscript was developed through free academic writing informed by established and contemporary literature in health policy, health services management, governance, and health system performance.

The discussion draws on scientific publications and institutional documents from international organizations concerned with health systems, governance, quality, performance assessment, and public management. References were selected according to their conceptual relevance to the arguments developed throughout the manuscript, with preference given to recent publications and major frameworks used internationally. All sources supporting the conceptual discussion are presented in the reference list at the end of the article.

3. Managerial Capacity as a Structural Component of Health Systems

Managerial capacity can be understood as the ability of organizations and their leaders to mobilize resources, coordinate actors, interpret information, establish priorities, and implement decisions consistent with health system objectives. This capacity extends beyond individual managerial competencies. It also depends on institutional rules, organizational structures, professional relationships, information systems, financing arrangements, and the distribution of authority across different levels of the health system.

Public health management differs substantially from conventional administrative models developed for organizations operating under relatively stable objectives. Health organizations frequently involve multiple professional groups,

CC BY

RSV
ISSN 2674-8584

Received: 13/01/2026 - **Accepted:** 13/02/2026
Vol: 08.09
DOI: 10.66104/effcd052
Pages: 1-10

governmental authorities, service providers, communities, and regulatory institutions. Managers must consequently negotiate among different interests while maintaining responsibility for service continuity, quality, financial stewardship, workforce conditions, and population health needs.

Governance provides an essential institutional environment for managerial action. Effective governance establishes responsibilities, decision-making processes, accountability mechanisms, regulatory arrangements, and relationships among actors. When these mechanisms are unclear or fragmented, managerial authority may become disconnected from operational responsibility, reducing the capacity of managers to implement changes even when problems have been correctly identified.

Managerial capacity also requires strategic planning. Planning allows health organizations to translate broad policy objectives into priorities, actions, resource allocations, and measurable targets. However, effective planning should not be understood as the production of formal documents alone. It requires continuous assessment of population needs, organizational capabilities, resource constraints, implementation barriers, and emerging risks that may alter previously established priorities.

Human resource management constitutes another fundamental dimension. Health services are highly dependent on skilled professionals whose performance is influenced by working conditions, organizational culture, leadership, professional autonomy, workload, and opportunities for development. Managers therefore need to reconcile organizational priorities with professional expectations while creating environments that support collaboration, motivation, learning, and appropriate workforce distribution.

Leadership is closely connected to these processes but should not be reduced to personal characteristics of individual managers. Effective leadership involves creating shared objectives, facilitating communication, managing conflicts, supporting teams, and promoting organizational adaptation. In public systems, leadership also requires the capacity to operate within political and institutional constraints without losing sight of long-term health objectives and public responsibilities.

Another important dimension is coordination. Patients increasingly require care involving different professionals, facilities, sectors, and levels of complexity. Fragmented management can generate duplication, discontinuity, delayed referrals, unnecessary expenditure, and poor patient experiences. Managerial capacity must therefore include mechanisms capable of connecting organizational units and creating coherent pathways across primary, specialized, hospital, rehabilitation, and long-term care.

CC BY

RSV
ISSN 2674-8584

Received: 13/01/2026 - **Accepted:** 13/02/2026
Vol: 08.09
DOI: 10.66104/effcd052
Pages: 1-10

Decentralized health systems introduce additional complexity. Decentralization may increase responsiveness to local conditions and encourage innovation, but it can also produce differences in administrative capacity between territories. Local autonomy without adequate technical, financial, and institutional capacity may reinforce inequalities, particularly when disadvantaged areas have fewer resources to recruit qualified professionals or implement sophisticated management systems.

Organizational culture further shapes managerial performance. Formal structures and protocols are insufficient when organizational environments discourage communication, innovation, accountability, or critical evaluation. Health organizations capable of learning from errors and performance information are more likely to adapt successfully to changing circumstances. Management must therefore cultivate institutional environments in which information is used for improvement rather than exclusively for control.

Managerial capacity should ultimately be viewed as a system property rather than simply an attribute of individual administrators. Training competent managers is necessary, but individual competencies cannot compensate indefinitely for fragmented governance, inadequate information, unstable financing, workforce shortages, or poorly defined responsibilities. Strengthening management therefore requires simultaneous investment in people, institutions, processes, and organizational infrastructure.

4. Performance Measurement and Evidence-Informed Decision-Making

Health service performance is multidimensional. A service may perform well according to one criterion while producing unsatisfactory results according to another. Increasing productivity, for example, does not necessarily imply better quality, equity, or patient outcomes. Performance assessment must consequently consider multiple dimensions rather than relying exclusively on financial indicators or volumes of procedures.

International frameworks increasingly recognize access, quality, efficiency, equity, sustainability, and resilience as interconnected dimensions of health system performance. This broader perspective is important because the ultimate purpose of management is not simply to maximize organizational output. It is to ensure that available resources contribute effectively to population health while maintaining fairness, responsiveness, and the capacity of services to function over time.

Indicators have become central instruments in this process. They can reveal variations in access, waiting times, quality, expenditure, workforce availability, service utilization, and health outcomes. When appropriately designed and interpreted, indicators provide managers with information that supports diagnosis, comparison, priority setting, resource allocation, and evaluation of interventions.

CC BY

RSV
ISSN 2674-8584

Received: 13/01/2026 - **Accepted:** 13/02/2026
Vol: 08.09
DOI: 10.66104/effcd052
Pages: 1-10

Nevertheless, measurement does not automatically produce better management. Health organizations frequently collect large quantities of information that remain disconnected from actual decision-making. Data may be incomplete, delayed, fragmented across incompatible systems, or produced primarily to satisfy administrative reporting requirements. The managerial challenge therefore involves transforming data into information and information into decisions capable of modifying organizational practices.

The selection of indicators also has consequences. What organizations measure influences what managers and professionals perceive as priorities. Excessive emphasis on easily measurable outputs may obscure dimensions such as continuity, person-centredness, equity, professional experience, or long-term health outcomes. Performance systems should therefore combine quantitative indicators with contextual interpretation and qualitative knowledge generated within services.

Digital transformation has considerably expanded the possibilities for performance monitoring. Electronic health records, integrated databases, dashboards, predictive models, and artificial intelligence can facilitate more rapid identification of patterns and support resource planning. These technologies potentially strengthen managerial capacity by reducing information delays and increasing the visibility of organizational processes.

However, digitalization does not eliminate managerial problems and may introduce new ones. Poor-quality data can produce misleading indicators, while fragmented digital platforms can increase administrative workload rather than improve coordination. Algorithmic systems also raise questions concerning transparency, accountability, privacy, bias, and the appropriate distribution of responsibility between human decision-makers and technological systems.

Evidence-informed management therefore requires more than technological infrastructure. Managers must possess sufficient analytical capacity to interpret indicators, understand uncertainty, recognize limitations in available data, and distinguish meaningful changes from statistical fluctuations. Organizations also need multidisciplinary teams capable of connecting epidemiological, clinical, financial, operational, and population-level information.

Benchmarking can contribute to organizational learning by identifying differences between services or territories. Nevertheless, comparisons must be interpreted carefully because performance is influenced by population characteristics, socioeconomic conditions, organizational resources, and institutional environments. Indicators used without contextual adjustment may incorrectly attribute structural disadvantages to managerial failure and can generate inappropriate incentives.

CC BY

RSV
ISSN 2674-8584

Received: 13/01/2026 - **Accepted:** 13/02/2026
Vol: 08.09
DOI: 10.66104/effcd052
Pages: 1-10

Performance assessment should consequently be incorporated into continuous cycles of organizational learning. Measurement becomes valuable when results lead to discussion, identification of causes, implementation of corrective actions, and subsequent reassessment. In this sense, performance management is not primarily an exercise in ranking institutions but a mechanism for understanding how organizations function and how they can improve.

5. Contemporary Challenges for Public Health Management

The first major contemporary challenge is financial sustainability. Health systems face increasing expenditure associated with ageing populations, chronic diseases, workforce costs, technological innovation, and expanding expectations regarding available treatments. Managers are increasingly required to identify opportunities for efficiency without compromising access, quality, or equity, making resource allocation both a technical and an ethical responsibility.

Workforce sustainability represents an equally important concern. Shortages, geographical maldistribution, burnout, professional turnover, and changing expectations regarding work affect health services internationally. Management strategies must move beyond workforce numbers and consider retention, professional development, skill mix, working conditions, team organization, and the capacity to redistribute tasks appropriately across occupations.

Population ageing and multimorbidity also challenge traditional organizational models structured around individual diseases and isolated episodes of care. Increasing numbers of patients require continuous, coordinated services across multiple settings. Managers must therefore redesign care pathways, strengthen primary care, promote integration, and develop organizational mechanisms capable of responding to complex and long-term needs.

Health inequalities create another fundamental challenge. Services operate within societies marked by unequal distributions of income, education, employment, housing, geography, and social resources. Management decisions concerning service location, opening hours, digital access, workforce distribution, and resource allocation can either reduce or reinforce these inequalities. Equity must therefore be incorporated explicitly into performance management.

The experience of health emergencies has reinforced the importance of resilience. Public systems must maintain essential functions while responding to sudden increases in demand, disruptions in supply chains, workforce pressures, and rapidly changing information. Resilience depends not only on emergency plans but also on governance capacity, institutional trust, flexible resources, information systems, and relationships established before crises occur.

CC BY

RSV
ISSN 2674-8584

Received: 13/01/2026 - **Accepted:** 13/02/2026
Vol: 08.09
DOI: 10.66104/effcd052
Pages: 1-10

Technological innovation represents both an opportunity and a managerial challenge. Digital tools can improve communication, access, monitoring, and decision support, but technology can also generate additional costs, interoperability problems, inequalities, and organizational dependence on external providers. Managers must evaluate innovations according to their contribution to health system objectives rather than adopting technologies merely because they are available.

Public-private relationships have also become increasingly complex. Health systems interact with pharmaceutical companies, technology firms, private providers, consultancies, insurers, and other organizations whose objectives may differ from those of public institutions. Effective management requires regulatory capacity and contractual arrangements capable of ensuring that partnerships contribute to public objectives while preserving accountability and institutional autonomy.

Political and institutional instability can significantly affect managerial capacity. Frequent changes in leadership, priorities, financing arrangements, or organizational structures may interrupt long-term strategies and weaken institutional memory. Professional management structures and stable technical capacities are therefore essential for maintaining continuity while preserving legitimate political accountability over public health priorities.

Citizen expectations are simultaneously transforming health management. Populations increasingly expect timely access, transparent information, participation in decisions, digital communication, respectful care, and accountability regarding the use of public resources. Responsiveness should therefore be treated as a component of performance rather than as an external communication function disconnected from service organization.

These challenges demonstrate that contemporary public health management cannot rely exclusively on traditional administrative approaches. Managers must combine strategic, analytical, relational, technological, and ethical competencies while operating within complex institutional environments. Building this capacity requires sustained investment in management as a core health system function rather than treating administration as secondary to clinical service delivery.

6. Conclusion

Managerial capacity is a fundamental component of health service performance because it determines how policies, resources, professionals, information, and organizational structures are translated into services for populations. Strong management does not replace adequate financing, workforce availability, infrastructure, or sound public policy; rather, it enables these resources

CC BY

RSV
ISSN 2674-8584

Received: 13/01/2026 - **Accepted:** 13/02/2026
Vol: 08.09
DOI: 10.66104/effcd052
Pages: 1-10

to be coordinated and used effectively. Improving performance therefore requires management systems capable of integrating planning, leadership, information, coordination, accountability, and organizational learning.

Contemporary challenges make this agenda increasingly important. Financial pressures, workforce shortages, demographic change, inequalities, digital transformation, and future health emergencies require public systems that are simultaneously efficient, equitable, adaptable, and resilient. Strengthening managerial capacity should consequently be regarded as a strategic investment in health system performance. Public health systems capable of developing professional management, evidence-informed decision-making, institutional coordination, and accountable governance will be better positioned to transform limited resources into sustainable improvements in population health.

References

Braithwaite, J., Mannion, R., Matsuyama, Y., Shekelle, P. G., Whittaker, S., Al-Adawi, S., Ludlow, K., James, W., Ting, H. P., Herkes, J., McPherson, E., & Hughes, C. (2017). Accomplishing reform: Successful case studies drawn from the health systems of 60 countries. *International Journal for Quality in Health Care, 29*(6), 880–886. https://doi.org/10.1093/intqhc/mzx122

Fanelli, S., Pratici, L., & Zangrandi, A. (2022). Managing healthcare services: Are professionals ready to play the role of manager? *Health Services Management Research, 35*(1), 16–26. https://doi.org/10.1177/09514848211010264

Kruk, M. E., Gage, A. D., Arsenault, C., Jordan, K., Leslie, H. H., Roder-DeWan, S., Adeyi, O., Barker, P., Daelmans, B., Doubova, S. V., English, M., Elorrio, E. G., Guanais, F., Gureje, O., Hirschhorn, L. R., Jiang, L., Kelley, E., Lemango, E. T., Liljestrand, J., ... Pate, M. (2018). High-quality health systems in the Sustainable Development Goals era: Time for a revolution. *The Lancet Global Health, 6*(11), e1196–e1252. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X(18)30386-3

OECD. (2023). *Health at a glance 2023: OECD indicators*. OECD Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1787/7a7afb35-en

OECD. (2024). *Rethinking health system performance assessment: A renewed framework*. OECD Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1787/107182c8-en

OECD. (2025). *Health at a glance 2025: OECD indicators*. OECD Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1787/8f9e3f98-en

Papanicolas, I., Rajan, D., Karanikolos, M., Soucat, A., & Figueras, J. (Eds.). (2022). *Health system performance assessment: A framework for policy analysis*.

CC BY

ISSN 2674-8584

Received: 13/01/2026 - **Accepted:** 13/02/2026
Vol: 08.09
DOI: 10.66104/effcd052
Pages: 1-10

European Observatory on Health Systems and Policies, World Health Organization Regional Office for Europe.

Sagan, A., Webb, E., Azzopardi-Muscat, N., de la Mata, I., McKee, M., & Figueras, J. (Eds.). (2021). *Health systems resilience during COVID-19: Lessons for building back better*. European Observatory on Health Systems and Policies, World Health Organization Regional Office for Europe.

World Health Organization. (2020). *Operational framework for primary health care: Transforming vision into action*. World Health Organization & United Nations Children's Fund.

World Health Organization. (2021). *Global strategy on digital health 2020–2025*. World Health Organization.

World Health Organization. (2022). *Health and care worker compact*. World Health Organization.

World Health Organization. (2023). *Global health and care worker compact: Technical guidance compilation*. World Health Organization.

World Health Organization. (2024). *Global spending on health: Emerging from the pandemic*. World Health Organization.

World Health Organization. (2025). *World health statistics 2025: Monitoring health for the SDGs, sustainable development goals*. World Health Organization.

World Health Organization Regional Office for Europe. (2023). *Health system performance assessment: A renewed global framework for policy-making*. European Observatory on Health Systems and Policies.

CC BY